

CONCENTRATION CONDUCTED AT

Simulation Type

Child's Play 3
Child's Play 4

Weed Trimming 2
Weed Trimming 3

UCL^b

Weed Trimming 4

Rototilling 1
Rototilling 2

UCL^b

Ambient during Child play
Ambient during Weed Trimming
Ambient during Rototilling

Notes:

^a Source of data: Labcor,
Transmitted by email from
on direct, manual inspecti

^b Derived as an upper bound
with a pooled analytical se
of the sum of the reciproc
for rototilling as an exampl
The 95% upper confidence
the UCL is simply 3 times

**TABLE 1:
 IS OF ASBESTOS STRUCTURES OBSERVED DURING SIMULATIONS
 T THE NORTH RIDGE ESTATES SITE, KLAMATH FALLS, OREGON^a**

Analytical Sensitivity (s/cm ³)	Number of Observed Structures			Concentration of Observed Structures		
	Short Protocol Structures (Number)	Long Protocol Structures (Number)	7402 Fibers (Number)	Total Protocol Structures (s/cm ³)	Fraction Long Protocol Structures (%)	7402 Fibers (s/cm ³)
6.8E-03	10	3	2	6.8E-02	23%	1.4E-02
1.5E-02	6	0	1	9.0E-02	0%	1.5E-02
3.1E-03	1	0	1	3.1E-03	0%	3.1E-03
2.9E-03	2	1	0	5.9E-03	33%	<3.0E-03
3.1E-03	7	7	2	2.2E-02	50%	6.3E-03
3.3E-03	4	0	0	1.3E-02	0%	
3.3E-03	4	0	0	1.3E-02	0%	<5.0E-03
2.0E-03	0	0	0	0	0%	0
1.8E-03	0	0	0	0	0%	0
2.2E-03	0	0	0	0	0%	0

This would
The altern

Inc. Report (dated: September 8, 2004), which contains the raw data.
 n U.S.EPA. The above structure counts (and corresponding concentrations) are based
 ion of the raw data.
 nd estimate for detection of zero structures based on Poisson distributed data
 ensitivity. The pooled analytical sensitivity is derived simply as the reciprocal
 als of the analytcal sensitivities for the two individual measurements. Thus:
 ple, analytical sensitivity = 1/[(1/3.3E-3)+(1/3.3E-3)].
 e limit for zero structures based on a Poisson distribution is 3 structures. Thus,
 the pooled analytical sensitivity.

D. Wayne Berman, Aeolus, Inc.

be for both rototilling simulations.

ative would be simply to put zero for the concentrations of 7402 structures